IN THE HIGH COURT OF UTTARANCHAL AT NAINITAL

WRIT PETITION NO. 190 (SS) OF 2006

Smt. Sarita, W/o Sri Sanjay Singh Rawat,
R/o Village Thanga Talla, P.O. Farsadi,
District-Pauri Garhwal. .....Petitioner.

Versus

1. State of Uttaranchal, through Education Secretary, Uttaranchal Sashan, Dehradun.
2. Director, Vidhalaiya Siksha, Uttaranchal, Dehradun.
3. Additional District Education Officer (Basic)/Serva Siksha Abhiyan Prakosht, District Pauri Garhwal.
4. Block Education Officer, Vikas Khand Birokhal, District-Pauri Garhwal.
5. Gram Siksha Samiti Thanga, through its President/Secretary.
6. Head Master, Primary School Buranshikhal, District Pauri Garhwal. .....Respondents.

Mr. M.C. Kandpal, Sr. Advocate, assisted by Sri S.S. Chaudhary, Advocate for the petitioner.

Learned Standing Counsel for the State of U.A.

Dated : 22.02.2006

Hon'ble Rajesh Tandon, J.

By the present writ petition, the petitioner has prayed for a writ of Mandamus commanding and directing the respondent authorities to appoint the petitioner on the post of Shiksha Mitra in Primary School Buranshikhal, District Pauri Garhwal.

2. During the course of the argument, the petitioner has confined his prayer for disposal of his representation made by him on 07.02.2006 as well as 31.01.2006 for the appointment of Shiksha Mitra.

3. According to the petitioner in pursuance of the Advertisement dated 26th November, 2005 published by the Additional District Education Officrer (Basic)/Serva Shiksha Abhiyan Prakosht, District Pauri Garhwal for appointment of Shiksha Mitra in the different Primary School of Pauri Garhwal, the petitioner has filed an application for appointment on the

post of Shiksha Mitra and according to the merit list, the petitioner was ranked at Serial No. 1.

4. The grievance of the petitioner is that he has not been considered for the appointment on the post of Shiksha Mitra although he has made a representation on 31.01.2006 and 07.02.2006 before the respondent authorities stating his grievances.

5. Taking into consideration the aforesaid facts and circumstances, respondents no.3 and 4 are directed to consider the representation of the petitioner in accordance with law after taking into consideration the statutory rules as contained for the appointment of Shiksha Mitra.

6. Writ petition is disposed of accordingly. No order as to costs.

(Rajesh Tandon, J.)

22.02.2006
Rathour